

# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



POLICY • PLANNING • PRACTICE

**March 4, 2015 • 12:00 – 2:00 p.m.**

Center for Healthy Communities at The California Endowment  
1000 North Alameda Street, Cabrillo Room  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

## **PROPOSED AGENDA**

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| 1. Welcome and Introductions<br>noon  | ▪ Opening Statement and Comments by the Chair | Richard Cohen, Chair   |
| 2. Approval of Minutes<br>12:10   | ▪ February 4, 2015<br><b>Action Item</b>      | Richard Cohen, Chair   |
| 3. Review and Approval of LPC <sup>1</sup> Local Funding Priorities<br>12:15  | <b>Action Item</b>                            | Michele Sartell, Staff   |
| 4. Unifying Perspectives of Science, Social Justice and Human Rights to Promote Optimal Child, Family and Community Well-being<br>12:25 |   | Ruth Beaglehole<br>Consultant in Nonviolent Parenting and Education and Thought Partner with the Magnolia Community Initiative |
| 5. Launch of Membership Recruitment for 2015-16<br>1:45   |   | JoAnn Shalhoub-Mejia,<br>Co-chair, Governance Work Group   |
| 6. Announcements and Public Comment<br>1:50   |   | Richard Cohen  |
| 7. Call to Adjourn<br>2:00  |   | Richard Cohen  |

### **Next Meeting**

Wednesday, April 1, 2015 • 12:00 – 2:00 p.m.  
Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE), Head Start-State Preschool  
10100 Pioneer Boulevard, Conference Room 110/111  
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

### **MISSION STATEMENT**

*The mission of the Child Care Planning Committee is to engage parents, child care providers, allied organizations, community, and public agencies in collaborative planning efforts to improve the overall child care infrastructure of Los Angeles County, including the quality and continuity, affordability, and accessibility of child care and development services for all families.*

---

<sup>1</sup> The Los Angeles County Child Care Planning Committee serves as our County's Local Planning Council (LPC).



This page intentionally blank

## COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



POLICY • PLANNING • PRACTICE

### **Meeting Minutes – February 4, 2015**

**Members in Attendance:** (29) Normal Amezcua, Rocio Bach, Alicia Fernandez for Ana Campos, Connie White for Edilma Cavazos, Richard Cohen, Debra Colman, Teresa Figueras, Mona Franco, Edith Garcia, Andrea Joseph, Jennifer Kuida, Ritu Mahajan, Liliana Martinez, Cyndi McCauley, Pat Mendoza, Laurel Parker, Dianne Philibosian, Joyce Robinson, Ancelma Sanchez, Judy Sanchez, Araceli Sandoval-Gonzalez, Kathy Schreiner, Sarah Soriano, Fiona Stewart, Andrea Sulsona, Dean Tagawa, Holli Tonyan, Kai-Ti Wang, and Lisa Wilkin

**Guests and Alternates:** Demitra Adams, Barbara Egyud, Kevin Dieterle, Nada Ghaneian, Alex Himmel, Phillipa Johnson, Dania Molina, Kelly O'Connell, Adriana Oliveros, Moises Roman, and Sally Valenzuela

**Staff:** Michele Sartell

#### **I. Welcome and Introductions**

Richard Cohen, Chair, opened the meeting at 12:05 p.m. He welcomed members and guests and requested self-introductions after reading the opening statement.

Richard noted the abbreviated agenda for the meeting to be followed by the Public Hearing on LPC Local Funding Priorities\* that will be presented at the March meeting for approval by Child Care Planning Committee (Planning Committee).

#### **II. Approval of Minutes**

*The Chair called for a motion to approve the minutes from January 7, 2015. Araceli Sandoval-Gonzalez made the motion to approve; the motion was seconded by Sarah Soriano. The motion passed by the members present with two abstentions from Laurel Parker and Connie White on behalf of Edilma Cavazos.*

#### **III. Public Policy Update**

Michele Sartell, staff to the Planning Committee, provided the report on behalf of Lisa Wilkin, Co-chair of the Joint Committee on Legislation.

##### *A. Governor's Proposed Budget for 2015-16*

Michele referred members, alternates and guests to the budget analysis contained in their meeting packets. She relayed that momentum to continue the restoration of child care and development services from last year's budget is mostly absent from the Governor's proposed budget for 2015-16. Proposed increases reflect full implementation of items from the budget passed last session including fully funding the expansion to serve more three and four year old children with an emphasis on full-day of service by the California State Preschool Program and the increase in funding to the Regional Market Rate used to reimburse subsidized services offered through the voucher-based systems. In addition, the proposed budget reflects a Cost of Living Adjustment

---

\* LPC – Local Planning Council. The Child Care Planning Committee serves as Los Angeles County's Child Care Planning Committee.



(COLA) for child care and development programs, which was suspended from 2008-09 through 2014-15. The budget for CalWORKs Child Care Stage 2 proposes a decrease in funding while CalWORKs Stage 3 would receive an increase due to caseload projections.

Michele commented that both Senate President Pro Tem Kevin de León and Assembly Speaker Toni Atkins have given voice to the importance of investing in children and families. As such, they are expected to introduce legislation that (re-)builds the child care and development system.

### *B. Legislation*

Michele referred members to the matrix of legislation being considered by the California legislature that is sent by e-mail to the membership on a weekly basis. She reported that to date, nine bills relating to child care and development have been introduced by legislators who have until February 27<sup>th</sup> to introduce bills.

The Joint Committee on Legislation has reviewed bills introduced thus far. While it will watch all bills listed in the matrix, it has identified a few worthy of early attention:

- AB 47 (McCarty) – would require the State Superintendent of Public Instruction to develop a plan for expanding the state preschool program to serve all eligible low-income children without current access to one year of state preschool or transitional kindergarten
- AB 74 (Calderon) – would take incremental steps to increasing the frequency of unannounced inspections of licensed facilities so that by January 2018, facilities would be inspected annually
- AB 233 (Lopez) – would authorize 12 months of continuous eligibility, delete certain reporting requirements, and eliminate the requirement that contracting agencies re-compete for funding every five years, and more
- Two bills propose increasing wages – AB 15 (Holden) and SB 3 (Leno)

Other bills are likely on the horizon – for example, the California Head Start Association and the California Association for the Education of Young Children are co-sponsoring a bill that proposes to integrate into a single license for centers serving children from birth to five years old, thus moving away from a dual license system. Assembly Member Mullin is expected to introduce the bill.

The next meeting of the Joint Committee on Legislation is scheduled for Monday, February 23<sup>rd</sup> from 10 a.m. to 12 p.m. at LACOE Head Start in Santa Fe Springs. Anyone interested in attending the meeting should speak with Michele.

## **IV. County Structure**

Michele introduced changes that are underway at the uppermost levels of Los Angeles County government occurring on the heels of the election that added two new Board of Supervisors (Board), Hilda Solis representing the First Supervisorial District and Sheila Kuehl representing the Third Supervisorial District and the retirement of the Chief Executive Officer, William T Fujioka. The Chief Executive Office (CEO) structure at the highest level has undergone significant changes to respond to the Board's highest priorities, specifically the sheriff's department, child protection and probation. In addition, the three "health" departments – Health, Mental Health and Public Health – is proposed for placement under one umbrella with the intent to promote better integration of services.

Michele presented the new organization chart compared to the previous chart, noting that to date it represents only the executive level of the CEO. More information will be forthcoming regarding the placement of the Service Integration Branch and the Office of Child Care.

Karla Pleitez Howell, the Planning Committee's representative to the Policy Roundtable for Child Care and Development (Roundtable), distributed a copy of a letter prepared by members of the Roundtable to the Board articulating the importance of the Office of Child Care as the only office within the County structure that speaks comprehensively to the child care and development. The letter urges the Board to keep the Office of Child Care under the auspices of the CEO to 1) ensure that the bodies it staffs are able to continue advising the Board on matters relating to child care and development services; and 2) support leveraging new funding to support expanding and improving the quality of child care and development services throughout the County. Roundtable members have signed on the last page; others interested in signing the letter may give their names to Karla.

Richard closed the item by commenting that everything is yet to be determined. He thought it important to bring the changes to the Planning Committee as it signifies the Planning Committee's place in the County system.

**V. Announcements and Public Comment**

- Dianne Philibosian announced an opportunity to weigh in on the City of Pasadena's draft revised child care policy. The revised policy will be presented to the Pasadena City Council on March 2, 2015. Michele will forward to the Planning Committee e-mail list Dianne's e-mail message with additional information and the draft revised policy attached.
  
- The Planning Committee meeting schedule for the remainder of the year is in the meeting packets.

**VI. Adjournment**

*The Chair called for a motion to adjourn. Holli Tonyan made the motion; Kathy Schreiner seconded the motion. The meeting was adjourned at 12:45 p.m.*



This page intentionally blank



## LPC LOCAL FUNDING PRIORITIES

### PUBLIC HEARING NOTES – FEBRUARY 4, 2015

#### I. Opening

Richard Cohen, Chair of the Los Angeles County Child Care Planning Committee (Planning Committee), opened the hearing at 12:56 p.m. Twenty members of the public attended and participated in the hearing.

#### II. Purpose of LPC\* Local Funding Priorities

Michele Sartell, staff to the Planning Committee, provided a brief overview of the legislative authority that requires local planning councils (LPCs) to identify local priorities for the distribution of new state child care and development and preschool funding. (See attached PowerPoint presentation.) LPC Local Funding Priorities are due to the CDE by May 30<sup>th</sup> of each year.

#### III. Priority Setting Process and Recommendations

Sources of data included: population estimates based on 2010 Census zip code data as the baseline to estimate the number of eligible children; California Department of Education (CDE) and other zip code data to determine the number and percent of eligible children served or not served by State-contracted programs as well as Head Start programs; and CDE's special run of CDD-801A data, which is the list of all families and children that received Early Education and Support Division (EESD)-subsidized services for a specified month. It is submitted monthly by every agency that contracts with EESD to provide subsidized child care and development services. The data was analyzed using the methodology indicated in CDE Management Bulletin 09-05: *Local Child Care and Development Planning Council Funding Priority Setting Process* (February 2009).

##### A. Preschool Program Priorities

The methodology for assigning priorities to zip codes for State Preschool (CPRE) for serving three and four year old children is as follows:

Priority 1: Zip code qualifies when there are 75% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 300 eligible children unserved

Priority 2: Zip code qualifies when there are 50-74% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 300 eligible children unserved

---

\* LPC = Local Planning Council. The Child Care Planning Committee serves as Los Angeles County's Local Planning Council.

Priority 3: Zip code qualifies when there are 25-49% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 100 eligible children unserved

## **B. General Child Care and Development Programs**

The methodology for assigning priorities to zip codes for general child care and development programs (CCTR) serving infants and toddlers and school age children is as follows:

Priority 1: Zip code qualifies when there are 50% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 1,500 eligible children unserved

Priority 2: Zip code qualifies when there are 50% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 750 eligible children unserved

Priority 3: Zip code qualifies when there are 50% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 500 eligible children unserved

## **IV. Comments/Discussion**

Following the brief presentation, members of the public responded to the invitation to provide comment:

- Priority 3 leaves 500 eligible children not served by the CCTR contracts.
- Priority zip codes do not necessarily tell the story of where parents want to enroll their children. Programs located in high priority zip codes sometimes experience problems enrolling children while the demand may be high in zip codes with a lower or non-existent priority zip code.
- There is a difference in eligibility between preschools and general child care and development centers. Preschools are enrolling three and four year old children. In terms of policy, it is more attractive to focus on preschool expansion as funding becomes available as it means larger numbers of children will be served. Half-day preschool gives appearance that even more children will be served. While the need is greater for infant and toddler programs and full day, the numbers served are lower.
- Challenges relating to fully earning preschool contracts were raised. In particular, concerns were raised pertaining to the growth of transitional kindergarten (TK) programs into full-day programs. Prior to becoming full day, parents enrolled children in TK for half the day and preschool for the other half of the day.
- It would be helpful to have the data broken out by age groups (e.g. for CCTR, infants and toddlers and school age children) and program service (e.g. for CSPP, part-day and full-day). Important to have this level of detail to support opportunities for leveraging funding as it becomes available.
- It was suggested that the Planning Committee create a Priority 4. A Planning Committee member offered historical context, commenting that in the past the methodology for establishing priorities was done at the county level. Los Angeles County led the way to create a statewide methodology that is adjusted based on the size of the county. Michele added that a cut-off is required as we continue to face a shortage of resources that do not come close to meeting the need.
- The question was raised on whether stakeholders were successful in advocating at the county level for increased investments.

- Some programs not located in high need zip codes have long lists of families eligible for their subsidized services. For example, the Westside communities have lots of families who drive in to work in the service industry and are seeking services near their places of employment.
- The problem is looking at the needs of families by zip code where they live, which is not always an accurate representation of where families are seeking services.
- It was suggested that maybe there be a regional way to look at the data, such as by Service Planning Area (SPA).
- Waiting lists in communities are longer for infants and toddlers.
- Given the data available, we need to find other ways to capture and use it to more effectively advocate at the county, SPA and local level.
- How has the data been used when funding for expansion becomes available? The CDE reviews priority data when organizations propose relocating their services or expanding. New funding is typically targeted to zip codes designated as priority 1 and then priority 2 followed by priority 3. CDE does not consider zip codes without a priority designation.
- Politics can also come into play with how funding is allocated.
- One member observed a crossover in the discussions between the public hearing and Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge (RTT-ELC) Advisory Committee that met earlier in the day. It would be helpful to recommend a public education campaign on how to locate quality where you live. Help families access good programs close to where they work or close to home.
- What parents want from child care and development services is very different depending on their community, socio-economic level, and other factors.

## **V. Close of Public Hearing**

Richard thanked the participants for their comments and their engagement in an important dialogue that reflects the complexity of the system and the challenges with which families are facing when seeking services. The hearing closed without objection at 1:53 p.m.

This page intentionally blank

**PUBLIC HEARING**  
 ...Local Child Care and Development Planning Council Funding Priority Setting Process  
 for State Child Care and Preschool Funds

Presented on behalf of Los Angeles County's Child Care Planning Committee  
 February 4, 2014




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY**

- AB 1857 (Chapter 655, Approved September 20, 1998) amended California Education Code Section 8499.5 to include specific mandates for Local Planning Councils (LPCs)
- Mandates include identifying local priorities for the distribution of new state child care and development and preschool funding
- Specifies "how LPCs are to conduct yearly review in order to identify gaps in services and funding priorities which will ensure that all child care and preschool services of the county are met to the greatest extent possible given limited resources."
- Local Funding Priorities due by May 30<sup>th</sup> of each year

Additional Source: Management Bulletin 09-05, Local Child Care and Development Planning Council Funding Priority Setting Process, February 2009. Available at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/lps/cd/cj/m80905.asp>




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**DATA SOURCES**

- Population estimates based on Census zip code data as baseline to estimate number of eligible children
- California Department of Education (CDE) and other zip code data to determine number and percent of eligible children served or not served by State funded programs as well as Head Start or Early Head Start
- CDE conducts special run of 801A data for LPCs
  - CCTR, CSPP, AP, CalWORKS Stages 2 and 3, FCCHENS
  - Zip Code by Age




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**PRIORITY SETTING**

- Data analyzed using Priority 1, 2 and 3 number and percent thresholds and methodology
- Methodology depends on County size – Los Angeles County uses methodology for counties with over five million residents
- ... and contract type, e.g. center (CCTR) or California State Preschool (CPRE)





---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**PRIORITY SETTING – Center (CCTR) Priorities**

- **Priority 1:** Zip code qualifies when there are 50% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 1,500 eligible children unserved
- **Priority 2:** Zip code qualifies when there are 50% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 750 eligible children unserved
- **Priority 3:** Zip code qualifies when there are 50% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 500 eligible children unserved





---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**PRIORITY SETTING – Preschool (CPRE) Priorities**

- **Priority 1:** Zip code qualifies when there are 75% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 300 eligible children unserved
- **Priority 2:** Zip code qualifies when there are 50-74% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 300 eligible children unserved
- **Priority 3:** Zip code qualifies when there are 25-49% or more eligible children unserved AND more than 100 eligible children unserved





---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

REVIEW, APPROVAL AND SUBMISSION TIMELINE

- February 4<sup>th</sup> - Public review and comment
- March 4<sup>th</sup> – Child Care Planning Committee review and approval
- March – Approval of County Superintendent of Schools
- March – early May – Submit to County process for approval by Board of Supervisors
- May 5<sup>th</sup> (tentative) – Board of Supervisors meeting agenda for approval
- May 30<sup>th</sup> – due to California Department of Education



3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

OVERVIEW OF FINDINGS

	Child Care and Development Programs Priority Rating					State Preschool Programs Priority Rating				
	1	2	3	N/A	Priority Totals	1	2	3	N/A	Priority Totals
SPA 1	2	3	0	10	5	0	4	0	12	4
SPA 2	16	8	10	40	34	4	12	4	54	20
SPA 3	9	11	11	24	31	1	4	14	39	19
SPA 4	13	5	5	8	23	1	11	6	13	18
SPA 5	0	3	4	21	7	0	1	1	26	2
SPA 6	18	2	0	2	20	1	13	5	3	19
SPA 7	10	8	2	12	20	1	7	4	20	12
SPA 8	10	7	6	20	23	0	8	6	26	14
<b>Totals</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>108</b>



3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

QUESTIONS? COMMENTS....

- Open for comments....
- Written comments due by February 6<sup>th</sup> at 5:00 p.m. to [msartell@ceo.lacounty.gov](mailto:msartell@ceo.lacounty.gov)



3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

This page intentionally blank

# Los Angeles County General Child Care and Development Program (CCTR) Priorities

## Fiscal Year 2014-2015

All zip codes for the county are listed and given a corresponding Local Planning Council (LPC) priority ranking of 1, 2, or 3.

All remaining (listed) zip codes are given NO PRIORITY and WILL NOT BE FUNDED. Please indicate those **No priority** zip codes with "NA".

### SPA 1

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
19	93243	NA
19	93510	NA
19	93523	NA
19	93532	NA
19	93534	2
19	93535	1
19	93536	2
19	93543	NA
19	93544	NA
19	93550	1
19	93551	NA
19	93552	2
19	93553	NA
19	93563	NA
19	93591	NA

### SPA 2

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
19	90290	NA
19	91011	NA
19	91020	NA
19	91040	NA
19	91042	2
19	91046	NA
19	91201	3
19	91202	3
19	91203	NA
19	91204	3
19	91205	2
19	91206	3

19	91207	NA
19	91208	NA
19	91210	NA
19	91214	NA
19	91301	NA
19	91302	NA
19	91303	1
19	91304	1
19	91306	1
19	91307	NA
19	91311	NA
19	91316	NA
19	91321	1
19	91324	3
19	91325	3
19	91326	NA
19	91330	NA
19	91331	1
19	91335	1
19	91340	1
19	91342	1
19	91343	1
19	91344	3
19	91345	NA
19	91350	NA
19	91351	2
19	91352	1
19	91354	NA
19	91355	3
19	91356	3
19	91361	NA
19	91362	NA
19	91364	NA
19	91367	NA
19	91381	NA
19	91382	NA
19	91384	NA
19	91387	2
19	91390	NA
19	91401	1
19	91402	1
19	91403	NA
19	91405	1
19	91406	1
19	91411	2
19	91423	NA

19	91436	NA
19	91501	3
19	91502	NA
19	91504	2
19	91505	2
19	91506	NA
19	91521	NA
19	91522	NA
19	91523	NA
19	91601	2
19	91602	NA
19	91604	NA
19	91605	1
19	91606	1
19	91607	NA
19	91608	NA

SPA 3

County Number                      Zip Code                                      LPC

19	91001	3
19	91006	NA
19	91007	3
19	91010	3
19	91011	NA
19	91016	3
19	91023	NA
19	91024	NA
19	91030	NA
19	91042	2
19	91101	NA
19	91103	2
19	91104	2
19	91105	NA
19	91106	NA
19	91107	NA
19	91108	NA
19	91125	NA
19	91126	NA
19	91214	NA
19	91702	1
19	91706	1
19	91709	NA
19	91711	NA
19	91722	2

19	91723	3
19	91724	3
19	91731	3
19	91732	1
19	91733	1
19	91740	NA
19	91741	NA
19	91744	1
19	91745	2
19	91746	2
19	91748	3
19	91750	NA
19	91754	3
19	91755	3
19	91759	NA
19	91765	NA
19	91766	1
19	91767	1
19	91768	2
19	91770	1
19	91773	NA
19	91775	NA
19	91776	2
19	91780	NA
19	91789	NA
19	91790	2
19	91791	2
19	91792	2
19	91801	1
19	91803	3

SPA 4

County Number                      Zip Code                      LPC

19	90004	1
19	90005	1
19	90006	1
19	90010	NA
19	90012	3
19	90013	NA
19	90014	NA
19	90015	2
19	90017	2
19	90019	1
19	90020	2

19	90021	NA
19	90023	1
19	90026	1
19	90027	2
19	90028	3
19	90029	1
19	90031	1
19	90032	1
19	90033	1
19	90036	3
19	90038	2
19	90039	3
19	90041	3
19	90042	1
19	90046	NA
19	90048	NA
19	90057	1
19	90065	1
19	90068	NA
19	90069	NA

SPA 5

County Number                      Zip Code                      LPC

19	90024	NA
19	90025	3
19	90034	2
19	90035	3
19	90045	2
19	90049	NA
19	90056	NA
19	90064	NA
19	90066	2
19	90067	NA
19	90077	NA
19	90094	NA
19	90095	NA
19	90210	NA
19	90211	NA
19	90212	NA
19	90230	3
19	90232	NA
19	90265	NA
19	90272	NA
19	90291	3

19	90292	NA
19	90293	NA
19	90401	NA
19	90402	NA
19	90403	NA
19	90404	NA
19	90405	NA

SPA 6

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
19	90001	1
19	90002	1
19	90003	1
19	90007	1
19	90008	2
19	90011	1
19	90016	1
19	90018	1
19	90037	1
19	90043	1
19	90044	1
19	90047	1
19	90058	NA
19	90059	1
19	90061	2
19	90062	1
19	90089	NA
19	90220	1
19	90221	1
19	90222	1
19	90262	1
19	90723	1

SPA 7

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
19	90022	1
19	90040	NA
19	90063	1
19	90201	1
19	90240	2
19	90241	1
19	90242	1
19	90255	1

19	90270	2
19	90280	1
19	90601	3
19	90602	2
19	90603	NA
19	90604	2
19	90605	2
19	90606	2
19	90623	NA
19	90630	NA
19	90631	NA
19	90638	NA
19	90639	NA
19	90640	1
19	90650	1
19	90660	2
19	90670	NA
19	90701	NA
19	90703	NA
19	90706	1
19	90712	NA
19	90713	NA
19	90715	3
19	90716	2

SPA 8

County Number                      Zip Code                      LPC

19	90245	NA
19	90247	1
19	90248	NA
19	90249	3
19	90250	1
19	90254	NA
19	90260	2
19	90266	NA
19	90274	NA
19	90275	NA
19	90277	NA
19	90278	3
19	90301	1
19	90302	2
19	90303	2
19	90304	1
19	90305	NA

19	90501	2
19	90502	NA
19	90503	3
19	90504	3
19	90505	3
19	90506	NA
19	90704	NA
19	90710	3
19	90717	NA
19	90731	1
19	90732	NA
19	90744	1
19	90745	2
19	90746	NA
19	90755	NA
19	90802	2
19	90803	NA
19	90804	1
19	90805	1
19	90806	1
19	90807	NA
19	90808	NA
19	90810	2
19	90813	1
19	90814	NA
19	90815	NA

<b>SIGNATURES</b>		
Authorized Representative- County Board of Supervisors	Telephone Number	Date
Michael D. Antonovich, Mayor	(213) 974-5555	
Authroized Representative- County Superintendent of Schools	Telephone Number	Date
Arturo Delgado, Ed.D.	(566) 922-6111	
Local Child Care Planning Council Chairperson	Telephone Number	Date
Richard Cohen, Ph.D.	(213) 783-4677 x3059	3/4/2015

## Los Angeles County California State Preschool Program (CSPP) Priorities

### Fiscal Year 2014-2015

All zip codes for the county are listed and given a corresponding Local Planning Council (LPC) priority ranking of 1, 2, or 3.

All remaining (listed) zip codes are given NO PRIORITY and WILL NOT BE FUNDED. Please indicate those **No priority** zip codes with "NA".

#### SPA 1

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
19	92397	NA
19	93243	NA
19	93510	NA
19	93523	NA
19	93532	NA
19	93534	2
19	93535	2
19	93536	2
19	93543	NA
19	93544	NA
19	93550	2
19	93551	NA
19	93552	NA
19	93553	NA
19	93563	NA
19	93591	NA

#### SPA 2

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
19	90290	NA
19	91011	NA
19	91020	NA
19	91040	NA
19	91042	3
19	91046	NA
19	91201	NA
19	91202	NA
19	91203	NA
19	91204	NA

19	91205	1
19	91206	NA
19	91207	NA
19	91208	NA
19	91210	NA
19	91214	NA
19	91301	NA
19	91302	NA
19	91303	2
19	91304	2
19	91306	1
19	91307	NA
19	91311	NA
19	91316	NA
19	91321	1
19	91324	NA
19	91325	NA
19	91326	NA
19	91330	NA
19	91331	2
19	91335	2
19	91340	3
19	91342	2
19	91343	2
19	91344	NA
19	91345	NA
19	91350	NA
19	91351	NA
19	91352	2
19	91354	NA
19	91355	NA
19	91356	NA
19	91361	NA
19	91362	NA
19	91364	NA
19	91367	NA
19	91381	NA
19	91382	NA
19	91384	NA
19	91387	NA
19	91390	NA
19	91401	3
19	91402	2
19	91403	NA
19	91405	2

19	91406	2
19	91411	1
19	91423	NA
19	91436	NA
19	91501	NA
19	91502	NA
19	91504	NA
19	91505	NA
19	91506	NA
19	91521	NA
19	91522	NA
19	91523	NA
19	91601	2
19	91602	NA
19	91604	NA
19	91605	3
19	91606	2
19	91607	NA
19	91608	NA

SPA 3

County Number                      Zip Code                                      LPC

19	91001	NA
19	91006	NA
19	91007	1
19	91010	NA
19	91011	NA
19	91016	3
19	91023	NA
19	91024	NA
19	91030	NA
19	91042	3
19	91101	NA
19	91103	NA
19	91104	3
19	91105	NA
19	91106	NA
19	91107	NA
19	91108	NA
19	91125	NA
19	91126	NA
19	91214	NA
19	91702	3

19	91706	3
19	91709	NA
19	91710	NA
19	91711	NA
19	91722	3
19	91723	NA
19	91724	NA
19	91731	NA
19	91732	2
19	91733	3
19	91740	NA
19	91741	NA
19	91744	NA
19	91745	NA
19	91746	3
19	91748	3
19	91750	NA
19	91754	3
19	91755	3
19	91759	NA
19	91763	NA
19	91765	NA
19	91766	2
19	91767	3
19	91768	3
19	91770	NA
19	91773	NA
19	91775	NA
19	91776	2
19	91780	NA
19	91786	NA
19	91789	NA
19	91790	3
19	91791	NA
19	91792	NA
19	91801	2
19	91803	NA

SPA 4

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
19	90004	2
19	90005	1
19	90006	2

19	90010	NA
19	90012	3
19	90013	NA
19	90014	NA
19	90015	2
19	90017	2
19	90019	2
19	90020	2
19	90021	NA
19	90023	3
19	90026	3
19	90027	3
19	90028	NA
19	90029	2
19	90031	3
19	90032	2
19	90033	3
19	90036	NA
19	90038	NA
19	90039	NA
19	90041	NA
19	90042	2
19	90046	NA
19	90048	NA
19	90057	2
19	90065	2
19	90068	NA
19	90069	NA

SPA 5

County Number                      Zip Code                      LPC

19	90024	NA
19	90025	NA
19	90034	3
19	90035	NA
19	90045	NA
19	90049	NA
19	90056	NA
19	90064	NA
19	90066	2
19	90067	NA
19	90077	NA
19	90094	NA

19	90095	NA
19	90210	NA
19	90211	NA
19	90212	NA
19	90230	NA
19	90232	NA
19	90265	NA
19	90272	NA
19	90291	NA
19	90292	NA
19	90293	NA
19	90401	NA
19	90402	NA
19	90403	NA
19	90404	NA
19	90405	NA

SPA 6

County Number                      Zip Code                                      LPC

19	90001	2
19	90002	2
19	90003	2
19	90007	NA
19	90008	2
19	90011	2
19	90016	3
19	90018	2
19	90037	2
19	90043	2
19	90044	2
19	90047	2
19	90058	NA
19	90059	3
19	90061	3
19	90062	3
19	90089	NA
19	90220	3
19	90221	2
19	90222	2
19	90262	1
19	90723	2

SPA 7

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
---------------	----------	-----

19	90022	3
19	90040	NA
19	90063	2
19	90201	2
19	90240	NA
19	90241	1
19	90242	2
19	90255	2
19	90270	2
19	90280	2
19	90601	NA
19	90602	NA
19	90603	NA
19	90604	NA
19	90605	3
19	90606	NA
19	90623	NA
19	90630	NA
19	90631	NA
19	90638	NA
19	90639	NA
19	90640	2
19	90650	NA
19	90660	NA
19	90670	NA
19	90701	NA
19	90703	NA
19	90706	3
19	90712	NA
19	90713	NA
19	90715	NA
19	90716	3

SPA 8

County Number	Zip Code	LPC
---------------	----------	-----

19	90245	NA
19	90247	2
19	90248	NA
19	90249	NA
19	90250	3

19	90254	NA
19	90260	3
19	90266	NA
19	90274	NA
19	90275	NA
19	90277	NA
19	90278	NA
19	90301	2
19	90302	NA
19	90303	2
19	90304	NA
19	90305	NA
19	90501	2
19	90502	NA
19	90503	NA
19	90504	NA
19	90505	NA
19	90506	NA
19	90704	NA
19	90710	NA
19	90717	NA
19	90731	2
19	90732	NA
19	90744	2
19	90745	2
19	90746	NA
19	90755	NA
19	90802	3
19	90803	NA
19	90804	2
19	90805	3
19	90806	3
19	90807	NA
19	90808	NA
19	90810	3
19	90813	2
19	90814	NA
19	90815	NA

<b>SIGNATURES</b>		
Authorized Representative- County Board of Supervisors	Telephone Number	Date
Michael D. Antonovich, Mayor	(213) 974-5555	
Authroized Representative- County Superintendent of Schools	Telephone Number	Date
Arturo Delgado, Ed.D.	(562) 922-6111	
Local Child Care Planning Council Chairperson	Telephone Number	Date
Richard Cohen, Ph.D.	(213) 783-4677 x3059	3/4/2015

This page intentionally blank

## **The Principles of Nonviolent Child Raising**

In nonviolent child raising, we define violence as anything that hurts the heart, mind, body, or spirit of a child. This includes physical punishment, verbal abuse, manipulation, blaming and shaming.

- In our commitment to build a just, loving, and peaceful world, we must respect the dignity and value of each human being and life force on this planet. Each person's reactions and feelings are heard, respected, and recognized as true.
- Children are born loving, curious, trusting, whole human beings. We must remember to always see them in this light and to encourage these natural traits to bloom throughout their childhood.
- Children deserve to be raised with unconditional love, free from physical and emotional harm. It is damaging to children to be raised to fear their caregivers.
- The relationship with a caregiver plays a crucial role in the development of neural pathways. These early relationships can determine a child's lifelong relationships with others, sense of security about exploring the world, resiliency to stress, and the ability to balance emotions and make sense of the inner and outside world. Caregivers are 'brain sculptors'.
- Building an intimate relationship with a child is a complicated process that requires acceptance and understanding of basic human needs and feelings. It is natural that both caregivers and children will experience anger and frustration as well as warmth and affection.
- Child raising is not something that is done to a child, but the process of being in a relationship together. Caregivers are allies to children, offering support as they work with—not against—them to build mutual understanding, respect, and honesty.
- A caregiver's understanding of their own childhood and trauma history (coherent narrative) allows them to understand their reaction to certain behaviors (triggers) in children. Being mindful and reflective opens a path for the caregiver to create a deep, attached relationship with the child.
- Caregivers work to maintain awareness and continually reevaluate the effect of their power in their relationships with children. The misuse of power over children is a form of violence against them.

- It takes time to grow up and reach an adult understanding of the world. The child's maturation and brain development is respected as an ongoing, complex process. The child's view of the world is right for a child, and needs to be supported and recognized as true.
- Through deep listening, empathy, and loving speech, children are guided to learn nonviolent ways to express their feelings and needs and to resolve their problems. This, and learning to respect the needs and feelings of others, is the process of building emotional competency and emotional intelligence.
- Children need nurturing guidance and age-appropriate limits to keep them safe, and to teach them the values that are important to the family. This process creates a scaffolding of support around a child. The caregiver is an emotional coach who guides the child in loving, supportive ways that respect the child's innate desire to satisfy his/her basic human needs.
- Nonviolent parenting is a daily practice using skills such as empathy, self-regulation, and problem solving—skills that both caregivers and children can learn.

*"The human brain and heart that are met primarily with empathy in the critical years cannot and will not grow to choose a violent or selfish life."*

*Robin Grille  
Parenting for a Peaceful World*

*"There is one thing about violence/nonviolence that is very simple and very predictable and again it may be the only basic thing we have to know about it: somewhere, somehow, violence will always hurt, while somewhere, somehow, nonviolence will always heal."*

*Gandhi*

*"The more healthy relationships a child has, the more likely he will be to recover from trauma and thrive. Relationships are the agent of change and the most powerful therapy is human love."*

*Dr. Bruce Perry:  
The Boy Who Was Raised as a Dog*