



**MEASURE J RE-IMAGINE LA
DIVERSION, BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,
AND HEALTH SUBCOMMITTEE**

Tuesday, February 8, 2021, 4:00 p.m.

- 1. The Diversion, Behavioral Health, and Health Subcommittee was called to order by Eunisses Hernandez.**

- 2. Introduction and Grounding**
 - a. Co-chair Eunisses introduced the facilitators, Monique Castro and Mari Ryono who led subcommittee orientation
 - i. Monique established the following meeting goals:
 1. Grounding, orientation, and funding possibilities
 2. Co-chair nomination and election
 - b. Monique began subcommittee orientation
 - i. Monique led discussion of group agreements and highlighted the following meeting expectations
 1. Members should be present in the meeting
 2. Members should practice equity in participation
 3. Members should practice grace and openness
 4. Take care of bodies
 5. Use human first language

- 3. Eunisses and Mari continued subcommittee orientation**
 - a. Subcommittee structure
 - i. The subcommittee will have two co-chairs, one of whom will be selected during this meeting
 - ii. Everyone subcommittee attendee is considered a member of the subcommittee
 - iii. The subcommittee is a Brown Act body
 - b. Role of Subcommittee
 - i. Subcommittee is responsible for making Measure J spending allocation recommendations to the Advisory Committee
 - ii. Subcommittee is also responsible for identifying programs and services that can be funded by Measure J and that will support communities and people impacted by systemic racism
 - c. There are 5 Measure J subcommittees
 - i. Economic Opportunity and Sustainability
 - ii. Diversion, Behavioral Health, and Health
 - iii. Education Access and Youth Development
 - iv. Housing
 - v. Reentry
 - d. Mari shared that over the next five meetings, subcommittee will build on prior ATI work and review presentations to develop potential funding recommendations for the Advisory Committee.
 - i. The Advisory Committee will create one combined list of recommendations from all subcommittee, which will be sent to the CEO and ultimately to the Board of Supervisors who will determine how Measure J funds will be spent

- e. Mari opened the floor for questions and public comment. The following people provided public comment
 - i. Bamby Salcedo
 - ii. Anissa Muhammad
 - iii. Shelly Pinkel
 - iv. Yvette Townsend
- f. County Counsel representative Melodie Larsen explained that Measure J motion anticipates input from County employees as well as members of the public

4. Monique led discussion regarding nomination and election of co-chair

- a. Monique explained the role and provided the following responsibilities of a co-chair
 - i. Represent the Advisory Committee and its conversations at the subcommittee
 - ii. Attend all 5 subcommittee meetings
 - iii. Provide guidance from the Advisory Committee and Measure J language to support concrete funding recommendations
 - iv. bring subject-matter expertise through personal lived work experience. support in facilitating comments and feedback into concrete funding recommendations.
 - v. report information from this subcommittee to the advisory committee, and
 - vi. provide support to facilitators in presenting material and decision- making process.
- b. Monique explained the process of electing a co-chair
 - i. Co-chairs will lead nominations and voting
 - ii. Nominees need to be present in meeting to accept the nomination
 - iii. Self-nominations are allowed
 - iv. Nominations will be tracked on a slide
 - v. The vote will be virtual, attendees on phone may vote over the phone
 - vi. Winners need to win by simple majority and winners take office immediately
- c. Co-chair Eunissed Hernandez opened nominations. The following people were nominated:
 - i. Brian Kaneda
 - ii. Jacob Brevard
 - iii. Catherine Clay
 - iv. Luis Guzman
 - v. Jonny Torres
 - vi. Anissa Muhammad
 - vii. Janet Yang (declined nomination)
 - viii. Jesse Mendoza
 - ix. Yvette Townsend (declined nomination)
 - x. Tanya Mercado
 - xi. Bamby Salcedo (declined nomination)
 - xii. LaCrease Coleman (was not present to accept nomination)
- d. Co-chair Eunisses Hernandez closed nominations
- e. Nominees were each provided one minute to speak and introduce themselves to the subcommittee
- f. Eunisses opened the floor for public comment following nominations. The following people provided public comment:
 - i. Ivette Ale
 - ii. Tommy Morris
 - iii. Geri Silva
 - iv. Nakeya Fields

- v. Bamby Salcedo
- vi. Dave Stein
- g. The vote results were as follows:
 - i. Brian Kaneda – 81 votes
 - ii. Jacob Brevard – 8 votes
 - iii. Catherine Clay – 11 votes
 - iv. Luis Guzman – 5 votes
 - v. Jonny Torres – 2 votes
 - vi. Anissa Muhammad – 15 votes
 - vii. Jesse Mendoza – 9 votes
 - viii. Tanya Mercado – 9 votes
- h. Brian Kaneda won the vote and accepted the role of co-chair

5. Mari led discussion on an overview of funding recommendations.

- a. Eunisses led discussion on considerations for making funding recommendations.
 - i. Funding should connect to the subcommittee's focus area and should connect to the following "through themes" identified by Advisory Committee:
 - 1. Individuals experiencing mental health disorders
 - 2. Individuals experience substance abuse disorders
 - 3. Homelessness
 - 4. Situations caused by unmet needs
 - 5. People with disabilities
 - 6. Zip codes
 - 7. Community of interest
 - 8. Preventative health needs
 - 9. System impacted individuals
 - ii. Funding recommendations should consider how recommendation will eliminate disparities within any of the following categories:
 - 1. Race
 - 2. Ethnicity
 - 3. Sex
 - 4. Gender identity
 - 5. Sexual orientation
 - 6. Languages spoken
 - 7. Geography
 - 8. Concentrated and/or accumulated disadvantage
 - 9. Immigration status
 - 10. Age
 - 11. Veteran status
 - iii. Mari explained the vision for funding recommendations
 - 1. What is the long-term vision for change and improvement for the Subcommittee's focus area?
 - 2. What programs, services, and initiatives does this Subcommittee want to fund this fiscal year, between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022?
 - 3. What programs, services, and initiative does this Subcommittee want to fund, but might take longer to implement? (2+ years)
 - iv. How Measure J funding moves into the community
 - 1. Established process of distributing funds through County departments
 - 2. Subcommittee can propose an additional process to equitably distribute Measure J funds such as a block grant for community-based organizations

- v. The subcommittee will use the following recommendations template and equity tool:
 - 1. What outcomes would the subcommittee like to see as a result of funding?
 - 2. Are there population categories we hope to access by outcomes?
 - 3. What types of programs/services/initiatives will move us closer to those identifies outcomes?
 - 4. What Measure J funding category/ATI foundational recommendations/ATI overarching strategy does this program/service/initiative connect to?
 - 5. Is there a connection to or consideration of the “through themes” identified by the Advisory Committee below?
 - a. Individuals experiencing mental health disorders
 - b. Individuals experiencing substance use disorders
 - c. Homelessness
 - d. Situations caused by unmet needs
 - e. People with disabilities
 - f. Zip codes
 - g. Community of interest
 - h. Preventative health needs
 - i. System impacted individuals
 - 6. How will your recommendation aim to eliminate disparities within any of the following categories?
 - a. Race
 - b. Ethnicity
 - c. Sex
 - d. Gender identity
 - e. Sexual orientation
 - f. Languages spoken
 - g. Geography
 - h. Concentrated and/or accumulated disadvantage
 - i. Immigration status
 - j. Age
 - k. Veteran status
 - 7. What do people with lived experience say the need?
 - 8. How will you prioritize communities with the most need?
 - 9. What population of individuals will the program/service/initiative benefit?
 - 10. How does this program/service/initiative build community capacity and empower communities most impacted by inequities?
 - 11. How will our identified outcomes be reached by this program/service/initiative?
 - 12. What will success look like?
 - 13. How do we measure success?
 - b. Mari then opened the floor for questions and public comment regarding the funding recommendations discussion
 - i. Anissa Muhammad
 - ii. Lydia Truick
- 6. Monique led discussion of focusing the topic area of the subcommittee and discussed the ATI foundational recommendations connected to the subcommittee**
- 7. Monique led a brainstorming to focus the subcommittee topic area and allow subcommittee members to express the populations and areas members feel should be**

addressed by the subcommittee

- a. To begin the exercise Monique asked the following two questions:
 - i. What are the diversion, behavioral health, and health issues that you see particularly for those who are systems-impacted and impacted by systemic racism and other inequities?
 - ii. What would you like to see?

8. Following the brainstorming exercise, the floor was opened for general public comment. The following members of the public addressed the subcommittee:

- a. Luis Guzman
- b. Payal Sawhney
- c. Bamby Salcedo
- d. Ivan
- e. Hector Ramirez

9. Adjournment

Adjournment for the Measure J Re-Imagine LA Diversion, Behavioral Health and Health Subcommittee of Tuesday, February 9, 2021.

[There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned by co-chairs Eunisses Hernandez and Brian Kaneda.